UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,240	04/22/2005	Yasushi Kohno	2005-0411A 2499	
	7590 02/13/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREE		DAVIS, BRIAN J		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/528,24	.0	KOHNO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Brian J. D		1621					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTERPRETATION OF THE MAILING OF	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. y period will apply and will y statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 23 November 2	007						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 November 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u></u>								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.						
	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	raminer							
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Objected to by the I	Evaminer					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	aro Examinor. No		, rection of formit	10102.				
	-	anaiana muianituu um	Jan 25 11 0 0 0 140/a) (d) ~~ (f)					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(a) or (t).					
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen			_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The objection to the specification, outlined in the previous Office Action, is maintained for reasons of record. Contrary to applicant's assertion, MPEP 1893.03(c), section III, states that the 371 parentage of the application must appear either in the first line of the specification, or in an Application Data Sheet. In the instant application, the parentage does not appear in the first line of the specification. Nor is there an Application Data Sheet of record in the application.

112 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 12-17 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, outlined in the previous Office Action, has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment narrows the scope of the claims appropriately.

102 Rejections Withdrawn

The rejection of claims 1-17, in so far as they read on the species defined in the previous Office Action, under 35 USC 102(b) has been overcome by applicant's amendment. The amendment narrows the claim set such that it no longer reads on the cited art.

Allowable Subject Matter

The elected species remains free of the prior art.

Applicant having overcome the outstanding rejection, the search was therefore expanded as called for under current Office Markush examination practice, a compound-by-compound search, to include a single additional compound. That compound is defined when: R_1 =halogen=F; R_2 = R_3 = R_4 = R_5 = R_6 = R_7 =H; X=O; and n=2. A rejection follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-17, in so far as they read on the species defined above, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO2000001388 (CAPLUS abstract of record, last compound on page 52). (The examiner notes for clarity of the record that this is the same prior art compound which was used in the 102 rejection of the previous Office Action.)

Applicant's compound is an adjacent homolog of the prior art compound. That is, the instant compound and the prior art compound differ by only one carbon in a carbon chain.

Adjacent homologs are considered to be obvious absent unexpected results.
"Presumption of unpatentability arises against [a] claim directed to [a] composition of matter the adjacent homologue of which is old in [the] art; burden is on applicant to rebut presumption by showing that [the] claimed compound possess unobvious or unexpected beneficial properties not actually possessed by [the] prior art homologue; it is immaterial that [the] prior art homologue may not be recognized or known to be useful for [the] same purpose or to posses [the] same properties as [the] claimed compound...". This is so because: "[C]haracteristics normally possessed by members of homologous series are principally the same, and vary but gradually from member to

member; chemists knowing properties of one member [of the] series would in general know what to expect of adjacent members." *In re Henze*, 85 USPQ 261, 263 (CCPA 1950). Members of a homologous series must possess unexpected properties not possessed by the homologous compounds disclosed in the prior art. *In re Hass*, 141 F.2d 127, 60 USPQ 548 (CCPA 1980).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0638. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,240 Page 6

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne (Bonnie) Eyler can be reached at 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian J. Davis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621 2/11/2008